



CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT PROPOSALS TO GET BIG MONEY OUT OF POLITICS

www.citizenstakeaction.org

| Amendment Proposal or Plan | Democracy For All Amendment | Wolf PAC's Convention Call | We The People Amendment | Restore Democracy Amendment |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Sponsoring Organization | Public Citizen | Wolf Pac | Move to Amend | Citizens Take Action |
| Does this amendment or plan guarantee specific reforms? | No. The amendment only makes it possible for Congress and state legislatures to pass reforms in the future. | No. Wolf PAC does not have amendment language. They are trying to call for a constitutional convention, where an amendment can be proposed. | Maybe. The amendment would abolish all the constitutional rights of unions and corporations. The consequences of that are unclear. | Yes. Corporations and unions would be prohibited from using their general treasury funds to support or oppose political candidates. |
| Does the amendment or plan overturn <i>Citizens United</i>? | No. The amendment would only make it possible for Congress to overturn <i>Citizens United</i> through subsequent legislation. | No. There is no way to know what type of amendment would be proposed if an Article V convention occurs. | Maybe. Corporate constitutional rights were not the sole cause of <i>Citizens United</i> . Therefore, the impact of abolishing those rights is unclear. | Yes, the Restore Democracy Amendment clearly and directly overturns <i>Citizens United</i> . |
| Does the amendment or plan shift power back from the Supreme Court to We The People? | Yes. The amendment gives Congress and state legislatures more power to pass meaningful campaign finance reform. | No, for the reasons mentioned above. | Yes. The amendment gives Congress, state legislatures, and local government more power to pass meaningful campaign finance reform. | Yes. The amendment gives Congress and state legislatures more power to pass meaningful campaign finance reform. |
| Does the amendment or plan appeal to both Democrats and Republicans? | It should, because it is very limited and does not specifically single out corporations or unions. | Probably not. Many Democrats fear the uncertainty of an Article V Convention and thus far, no "red state" has passed Wolf PAC's legislation. | No. Many Democrats and the vast majority of Republicans do not support abolishing all the constitutional rights of unions and corporations. | It should, by placing limits on both corporations and unions while preserving basic rights that are critical to their existence. |
| Does the amendment or plan have a realistic chance of success (being ratified by 38 states)? | Probably. But since it does not overturn <i>Citizens United</i> , its impact could be severely limited. | No. Most states that have considered this plan have not supported it. At Wolf PAC's current pace, an Article V Convention would not occur until after 2050. | No. An amendment that is considered too extreme or vague by many Democrats and most Republicans does not have a realistic chance of success. | Yes. It is clear, powerful, and bipartisan, and no other proposal meets all four criteria above. |